## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit
No. 17-2491
United States of America
Plaintiff - Appellee
v.
Aldreias Campbell
Defendant - Appellant
Appeal from United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa - Waterloo
Submitted: February 2, 2018 Filed: February 9, 2018 [Unpublished]
Before WOLLMAN, LOKEN, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.

In this direct criminal appeal, Aldreias Campbell challenges the sentence the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed following his guilty plea to a drug charge. On appeal, his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Linda R. Reade, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa.

counsel has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court erred at sentencing by departing upward under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.3(a), and that Campbell's sentence is substantively unreasonable.

We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in departing upward, because it took into account the number and severity of Campbell's unscored convictions, and his likelihood to recidivate, see U.S.S.G. § 4A1.3(a); United States v. Vasquez, 552 F.3d 734, 738 (8th Cir. 2009) (standard of review); and that the sentence was not substantively unreasonable, as the court properly considered and individually assessed several 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, and there was no indication that it overlooked a relevant factor, or committed a clear error of judgment in weighing relevant factors, see United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (standard of review).

Furthermore, we have independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v.</u> <u>Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion, and affirm.

-2-